Eavor-Loop for Combined Heat and Power at the University of Calgary:

A Techno-Economic Analysis

Nicolas Barry-Hallee¹, Michael Holmes², Roman J. Shor¹

¹ University of Calgary, ² Eavor Technologies



Background and Introduction

Eavor-Loop[™] is a multi-leg closed-loop advanced geothermal system capable of producing industrial-scale heat for district heating or electricity via an Organic Rankine Cycle (ORC) power plant with zero greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions ^[1].

) Eavor[™]

- Energy for the University of Calgary main campus is currently supplied by a 14 MW natural gas turbine producing combined heat and power (CHP) connected to a district heating system.
- Eavor-Loop[™] energy could reduce the carbon intensity of the University



Sensitivity Analysis



of Calgary's energy system, integrate into the existing district heating infrastructure, and support the university's climate commitment of a carbon-neutral campus by 2050^[2].

Purpose: Assess the technical and economic feasibility of Eavor-Loop[™] for CHP at the University of Calgary.



Figure 1: A) Schematic of existing natural gas cogeneration system for CHP. B) Schematic of proposed Eavor-Loop ^[3] on University of Calgary main campus with design parameters; CHCP: Central Heating and Cooling Plant with Eavor-Loop integration into district heating system; TFDL: Taylor Family Digital Library.



Figure 3: OH: Open hole drilling cost. A) Cumulative costs in millions for a 30°C/km geothermal gradient with 4 Eavor-Loops required to meet demand. B) Cumulative costs for a 60°C/km geothermal gradient with 1 Eavor-Loop required to meet demand. TCO for each case in parentheses. All cost figures at 8% discount rate.

Economic and environmental performance:



Cogeneration (base case) Eavor-Loop (30°C/km) Zevor-Loop (60°C/km)

Eavor-Loop ORC CAPEX (\$/kWe)	
Power sale price (\$/MWhe)	
Base case turbine O&M (\$MM/yr)	
Eavor-Loop ORC O&M (%/ORC CAPEX)	

Open hole drilling cost (\$/m)

Carbon price cap (\$/t CO2-eq.)

Vertical drilling cost (\$/m)

Financing interest rate (%)

Natural gas price (\$/GJ)

Discount rate (%)

			Ç	53,12	25		Ş1,	875			
	\$127.				50		\$76	5.50			
				\$ 0.	.91		\$1.5	1			
				1	.9%	1	.1%				
-15%	-10%	-5%	%0	5%	10%	15%	20%	25%	30%	35%	40%
_					-						

Relative TCO reduction over base case (%)

Figure 6: Relative TCO reduction of 30°C/km Eavor-Loop over base case. High and low sensitivity ranges shown next to each bar.

Conclusions

- Eavor-Loop has economically feasible TCO over the base case with a 30°C/km gradient and open hole drilling costs of \$400/m or less.
- 30°C/km gradient Eavor-Loop with \$400/m open hole drilling cost corresponds to 13% reduction in TCO over base case and a competitive LCOE of \$137/MWh_e.
- 4 loops are needed to meet demand with 30°C/km gradient; 60°C/km gradient requires only 1 loop and significantly reduces TCO.
- Eavor-Loop cases result in 39% yearly reduction in total GHG emissions from the University of Calgary compared to 2017 ^[2]; 2.17 megatonnes of CO₂-eq. avoided over project lifetime.

Methodology and Assumptions

- Performance of the natural gas cogeneration system (base case) and Eavor-Loop cases were modelled to determine feasibility of Eavor-Loop to replace the base case for CHP.
- Geothermal gradient (30 °C/km and 60 °C/km) and drilling cost assumptions (\$200/m to \$600/m open hole leg) from a previous technoeconomic study of Eavor-Loop ^[4].
- Long term thermodynamic performance simulated using Eavor Technologies modelling assuming pseudo-steady state operation to estimate average lifetime energy output.



Figure 4: Comparison of A) Relative TCO reduction over base case; B) Levelized cost of electricity, calculated for Eavor-Loop cases with total thermal output in electrical equivalent units; and C) Yearly GHG emissions for the cogeneration base case and Eavor-Loop cases. Eavor-Loop cases assume \$400/m open hole drilling cost. All cost figures at 8% discount rate.

Undiscounted cost breakdown:



 Economic feasibility is heavily dependent on open hole drilling cost due to extensive drilling required to construct the number of legs necessary to meet demand.

Future Work

- Modelling seasonal fluctuations in heat demand and the effect on Eavor-Loop power and heat production.
- Incorporating geological data for the Calgary region to refine drilling cost estimates and geothermal gradient assumptions.

References

[1] Eavor Technologies Inc. (n.d.). *Technology*. Retrieved January 16, 2022, from https://www.eavor.com/technology/
[2] University of Calgary. (2018). *2019 Climate action plan*. https://www.ucalgary.ca/live-uc-ucalgary-site/sites/default/files/teams/138/FINAL%20Climate%20Action%20Plan%2 02019.pdf
[3] Adapted from Eavor Technologies Inc.
[4] Beckers, K. F., & Johnston, H. E. (2022). Techno-economic performance of Eavor-Loop 2.0. *47th Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering*,

1–14.

Figure 2: Analytical framework for the techno-economic analysis of Eavor-Loop for CHP. **Figure 5:** OH: open hole. Cumulative undiscounted cost items in millions over the analysis period for base and Eavor-Loop cases. Base case costs prorated for additional power sales revenue.

Acknowledgements

Thank you to Eavor Technologies and the Office of Sustainability's Campus as a Learning Lab initiative at the University of Calgary for the resources and guidance, and to Mitacs for funding support.



The University of Calgary has not reviewed the accuracy of this project's conclusions or assumptions. However, we are encouraged by the strides that this project has made in advancing sustainability on campus.