

Using Pulsed Methane Pyrolysis to Create a Clean Hydrogen Hub in Alberta

Authored by: Kurt Middleton Academic Supervisor: Ian D. Gates PhD, University of Calgary Industry Partners: Ekona Power, NuVista Energy Mitacs Business Strategy Internship Recipient



S-mitacs

ABSTRACT

Hydrogen has significant potential to advance the energy transition in Canada. This research project is a technoeconomic analysis of a proposed hydrogen hub in Alberta, Canada deploying low emission Pulsed Methane Pyrolysis. This hub could meet future clean hydrogen demand from nearby gas fired electricity generating facilities and heavy-duty trucks equipped with fuel cells. The solid carbon co-product could address existing industrial demands or be expanded to new applications. This study relies on primary and secondary data to develop technical, cost, and market assumptions to understand the delivered cost of hydrogen from Pulsed Methane Pyrolysis at different deployment scales versus the cost of the incumbent fuel. Larger scale production offers the lowest costs, and the economics of providing hydrogen to heavy-duty transportation currently appears to be more favorable than for electricity generation. Policies and incentives supportive of clean hydrogen from methane pyrolysis will be important to advance this technology's deployment.

BACKGROUND (CONTINUED)

Pulsed Methane Pyrolysis

Ekona's Pulsed Methane Pyrolysis (PMP) uses no catalyst and is a variation of thermal pyrolysis. H2 Ekona's novel xCaliberTM pyrolysis reactor design increases the temperature and pressure inside the Inputs reactor volume by directly injecting hot products of NG (kg) combustion and allowing rapid mixing in the Electricity (kWh) feedstock chamber. Preheated methane feedstock is O2 (kg)

and drives solid carbon to the downstream carbon

Potential clean hydrogen demand by sector

AND

10

separator.

displace diesel.

MEDIUM- A

VEHICL

Source: (DOE, 2023)

Electricity generation

		<u>ANAL</u>	<u>YSIS</u>	
Methodology The conceptual frame	mework for the te	chnoeconom	nic analysis is p	presented below.
DATA SOURCES	ASSUMPTIONS	MODEL	<u>OUTPUTS</u>	<u>APPLICATIONS</u>
Literature review	Technical	Methane		Electricity Generation
Industry partners	Cost	pyrolysis (Excel model)	LCOH	Transportation
	Commodity prices	3 Phases 2 TPD 20 TPD	Emissions	Results H2 substitution costs

200 TPD

Policy /

incentives

CONCLUSIONS

Levelized cost versus willingness to pay for hydrogen

An electricity generator has a relatively low willingness to pay for hydrogen (approximately \$1.30 - \$2.00 kgH₂, which is below the levelized cost of producing hydrogen. Heavy-duty trucking has a higher willingness to pay $(\$7.00 - \$9.00 \text{ kgH}_2)$ due to the higher cost of diesel fuel and related emissions. It should be noted that additional investment in hydrogen processing and transportation is necessary to deliver hydrogen to refueling points which is not included in the LCOH of hydrogen in the chart below.



RESEARCH QUESTION

What is the commercial potential for the hydrogen and solid carbon produced by the deployment of Pulsed Methane Pyrolysis in Grande Prairie, Alberta?

Inter-disciplinary study

This project integrates the three disciplines of energy, the environment, and economics. This project addresses two U.N. Sustainable Development Goals (SDP) #7 and #9.



BACKGROUND

Hydrogen production types

Methane pyrolysis is called "turquoise" hydrogen as it shares some elements of both blue and green hydrogen; it utilizes natural gas as a feedstock, yet it does not require CCS and it is a low emissions production process (IEA, 2023).

	Color	Energy source	Mode of production
--	-------	---------------	--------------------

delivered to the reactor and an air separation unit	Outputs	/кдп2	
provides onsite oxygen supply. Product gases are	Carbon (kg)	4.0	
discharged from the reactor to downstream	Water (kg)	2.8	
purification equipment and the process is repeated	CO2 (kg)	1.0	
purification equipment, and the process is repeated.	Source: (Kendrick, 2024)		
Discharging the pressurized pyrolysis products from			
the reactor minimizes "carbon fouling" in the reactor			

The U.S. DOE estimates that early adopters such as medium/heavy duty trucking and buses

have the highest willingness to pay approximately US\$4.00 - \$5.00 / kg for clean hydrogen to

Dark Colors: Base Case

Light Colors: High Demand Case

Circles: Higher bound of willingness to pay

Phased development plan

Process Yield

72%

93%

/kgH2

5.6

3.7

3.2

Deployment of PMP takes place over three phases to manage capital resources, mitigate risk, and allow learnings to be incorporated in the next larger phase of development. The production capacity of each phase is synchronized with anticipated hydrogen demand and align with a 2035 net zero ambition.

Emissions reductions

• Impact of scale

gaps

Incentives / policy

PHASE 1: 2027 2 TPD H ₂	PH 20	IASE 2: 203 TPD H ₂	1	PHASE 3: 203 200 TPD H ₂	36
• Wembley cogen 20% H ₂		Wembley cog Peaker gen 1 3	en 80% H ₂ 30% H ₂	 Wembley of Peaker gen Peaker gen HD trucking 	ogen 100% H ₂ 1 100% H ₂ 2 100% H ₂ 3
Key assumptions					
		Phase 1	Phas	a 2	
Phase and Capacity (TPD	Π2)	2 TPD	20 T	PD	Phase 3 200 TPD
Phase and Capacity (TPD In-service (year)	Π ₂)	2 TPD 2027	20 T 203	PD 31	Phase 3 200 TPD 2035
Phase and Capacity (TPD In-service (year) Production life (#years	s)	2 TPD 2027 20	20 T 203 203	PD 31 0	Phase 3 200 TPD 2035 20
Phase and Capacity (TPD In-service (year) Production life (#years Capital expenditure (C	s) \$\\$M)	2 TPD 2027 20 \$40	20 T 203 203 203 203	PD 31 0 10	Phase 3 200 TPD 2035 20 \$620
Phase and Capacity (TPD In-service (year) Production life (#years Capital expenditure (C O&M (% of capital)	s) S(\$M)	2 TPD 2027 20 \$40 5.0%	20 T 203 203 20 20 20 20 5.0	PD 31 0 10 %	Phase 3 200 TPD 2035 20 \$620 5.0%
Phase and Capacity (TPD In-service (year) Production life (#years Capital expenditure (C O&M (% of capital)	п₂) s) C\$M)	2 TPD 2027 20 \$40 5.0%	20 T 203 203 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	PD 31 0 10 %	Phase 3 200 TPD 2035 20 \$620 5.0%
Phase and Capacity (TPD In-service (year) Production life (#years Capital expenditure (C O&M (% of capital) Assumption	s) C\$M)	2 TPD 2027 20 \$40 5.0% A	20 T 203 203 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	PD 31 0 10 %	Phase 3 200 TPD 2035 20 \$620 5.0%
Phase and Capacity (TPD In-service (year) Production life (#years Capital expenditure (C O&M (% of capital) Assumption Hydrogen (C\$/kgH ₂)	n₂) s) C\$M) - 2024	2 TPD 2027 20 \$40 5.0% A \$5.00 Ir	20 T 203 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	PD 31 0 10 %	Phase 3 200 TPD 2035 20 \$620 5.0% 2.0%
Phase and Capacity (TPD In-service (year) Production life (#years Capital expenditure (C O&M (% of capital) Assumption Hydrogen (C\$/kgH ₂) Carbon Black (US\$/t	n ₂) s) C\$M) - 2024 ton) - 2024	2 TPD 2027 20 \$40 5.0% A \$5.00 Ir \$200 T	20 T 203 203 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	ar) Alberta)	Phase 3 200 TPD 2035 20 \$620 5.0% 2.0% 2.0% 23%
Phase and Capacity (TPD In-service (year) Production life (#years Capital expenditure (C O&M (% of capital) Assumption Hydrogen (C\$/kgH ₂) Carbon Black (US\$/t	n ₂) s) C\$M) - 2024 ton) - 2024	2 TPD 2027 20 \$40 5.0% \$5.00 Ir \$200 T 5200 C	20 T 203 203 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	ar) Alberta)	Phase 3 200 TPD 2035 20 \$620 5.0% 2.0% 2.0% 23% 10.00%
Phase and Capacity (TPD In-service (year) Production life (#years Capital expenditure (C O&M (% of capital) Assumption Hydrogen (C\$/kgH ₂) Carbon Black (US\$/t AECO gas (C\$/GJ) -	n ₂) s) C\$M) - 2024 ton) - 2024 - 2027	2 TPD 2027 20 \$40 5.0% \$5.00 Ir \$200 T \$200 T \$3.70 C	20 T 203 203 203 203 203 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	PD 31 31 0 10 % ar) · Alberta) / factor*	Phase 3 200 TPD 2035 20 \$620 5.0% 2.0% 2.0% 23% 10.00% 10.58%

Source: Ekona – Hydrogen, carbon black, discount rate. (EDC, 2024) - natural gas, electricity.

\$70

Electricity (C\$/MWh) - 2027

Discount date

Additional refueling costs could be offset by low carbon fuels credits

The Transition Accelerator (Khan et. al, 2022) estimated an additional \$4.00/kg for compression and transportation and \$2.50/kg in refueling cost to deliver hydrogen, which can vary based on distance and scale. This adds significant cost to hydrogen production costs but can be offset by the market value of credits obtained under Canada's proposed *Clean Fuels* Regulation. Assuming an 80% tradeable value of the \$250/tonCO₂e penalty, a credit value of approximately \$3.80/kg could reduce the delivered cost of hydrogen to under \$5/kg, which would be below a diesel consumer's willingness to pay.



2024-06-30

White	Natural geologic formations	Fracking	
Brown/Black	Coal (lignite / bituminous)	Gasification	
Gray	Natural gas	SMR	
Purple/Pink	Nuclear	Water electrolysis	
Green	Renewable energy	Water electrolysis	
Blue	Natural gas	SMR / ATR + CCS	
Turquoise	Natural gas	Pyrolysis	

Source: Adapted from (Fernandez et al., n.d.)

Comparing levelized cost of hydrogen (US\$/kg) and emissions



Sources: (CICE, 2023, 2024; IEA 2023; DOE, 2023)

Pyrolysis of Methane

Pyrolysis involves the decomposition of methane at high temperatures to produce hydrogen

existing turbine systems. Even considering carbon emissions pricing, natural gas is a low-cost fuel for electricity generation. Low hydrogen production costs are required to displace it. Heavy duty trucking

Hydrogen Demand (MMT/y)

Gas turbine systems are the backbone of Alberta's electricity grid. In the short term, existing

dispatchable energy. Higher blend proportions (beyond 60% H₂) are required to deliver

significant emissions reductions which will require the potential retrofit or replacement of

assets and infrastructure could utilize hydrogen to partially decarbonize this important form of

Battery Electric Vehicles (BEV) are a viable alternative for light duty passenger cars but are not as well suited to the heavy payloads, short duration refueling requirements, longer range journeys, and long service times in cold weather that heavy-duty trucking requires. Heavy duty trucks equipped with hydrogen fuel cells (FCEV), or hybrid diesel/FCEV are better suited to meet these requirements (DOE, 2023).

Hydrogen is more economical than diesel in the green shaded area, and higher carbon prices increase hydrogen's competitiveness as a fuel. For example, at a diesel price of \$1.50/L in Grande Prairie, and a relative J_{H2}/J_{Diesel} efficiency of 0.86, the equivalent price of hydrogen would range from approximately $7.50-8.50/\text{kgH}_2$ at a carbon price of 80 to 170/ton.





Hydrogen and natural gas costs in electricity generation

The willingness to pay for hydrogen as fuel in a gas fired turbine on an energy equivalency basis is impacted by the price of natural gas and the cost of related emissions. Natural gas is a comparatively low-cost source of energy. Hydrogen is more economic in the blue shaded areas given a range of underlying natural gas prices. Three different years are used (2027, 2030, and 2036) to show how the breakeven price for hydrogen increases in step with escalating TIER carbon prices and more stringent benchmarks for carbon intensity. The operator of a natural gas fired generation facility would be willing to pay C\$1.30 - \$2.00 per kilogram of hydrogen under these circumstances, beneath the levelized cost of hydrogen.

■ 2027 ■ 2030 ■ 2036



REFERENCES

CICE. (2023). Carbon Intensity of Hydrogen Production Methods. https://cice.ca/wpcontent/uploads/2023/05/CICE-Hydrogen-Carbon-Intensity-Report-March-2023.pdf

CICE. (2024). The Potential for Methane Pyrolysis in BC.

DOE. (2023). U.S. National Clean Hydrogen Strategy and Roadmap.

EDC. (2024). Quarterly Forecast Update First Quarter 2024.

Fernandez, O. J. G., & Rough, D. (n.d.). *Hydrogen Considerations Tree: Executive Deck*.

Kendrick, D. (2024, April). Development of a Pulsed Methane Pyrolysis Reactor for Hydrogen Production. Canadian Hydrogen Convention, Edmonton, AB.

Khan, M. A., MacKinnon, C., Young, C., & Layzell, D. B. (2022). Techno-economics of a New Hydrogen Value Chain Supporting Heavy Duty Transport. Transition Accelerator Reports:, 4(5), 1–52.

Timmerberg, S., Kaltschmitt, M., & Finkbeiner, M. (2020). Hydrogen and hydrogen-derived fuels through methane decomposition of natural gas – GHG emissions and costs. *Energy* Conversion and Management: X, 7, 100043. UNDP. (2023). Sustainable Development Goals | United Nations Development Programme. UNDP. https://www.undp.org/sustainable-development-goals **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS and CONTACT**

and solid carbon. Methane pyrolysis is more energy efficient than water electrolysis, as it requires 37.5 kJ to produce 1 mol of H_2 as compared to 286 kJ per mol of H_2 for electrolysis. Methane pyrolysis produces approximately 3 kg of solid carbon (C) for every kilogram of H₂ (Timmerberg et al., 2020).

 $CH_4 \leftrightarrow C + 2H_2 \quad \Delta H^o 75.6 \ kJ \ mol^{-1}$

to the process and economics of this technology. Carbon black is formed at higher temperature reactions, without employing a catalyst, and this is the type of co-product yielded from Ekona's PMP. The formation and removal of solid carbon can be an issue for cost-effective pyrolysis but optimizing the carbon stream and performing additional processing and verification can create increased value and would decarbonize another emissions-intensive industrial product. Carbon black is primarily used to strengthen rubber, particularly in tire manufacturing. Obtaining new markets for carbon black, in agriculture, cement, or asphalt could support higher levels of production. Creating value for carbon black is important to the economics of methane pyrolysis and also allows the allocation of emissions to each of the hydrogen and solid carbon co-products.



Kurt Middleton is a candidate for the MSc in Sustainable Energy Development at the University of Calgary, and gratefully acknowledges the funding provided by the Mitacs Business Strategy Internship and NuVista Energy.

Thank you to my supervisors and collaborators for their support and advice.

Contact: kurt.middleton@ucalgary.ca

Thank you for your interest!



My LinkedIn profile