

# The Climate Policy Landscape in Yukon

By Ruba Khan, Katharina Koch, Alaz Munzur and Jennifer Winter


February 2025

Of the 341 total emissions-reduction policies in the [Canadian Climate Policy Inventory](#), Yukon has 11 policies, or three percent. The Government of Canada has the largest number (71).

Figure 1 shows the policy instruments employed by the Government of Yukon, categorizing them by sector. The inner ring highlights the share of instrument types—abatement support, indirect or mandatory—by sector, while the outer ring indicates the percentage of total policies targeting each sector.

Policies are classified by instrument type based on how they reduce emissions: mandatory, abatement support, and indirect. Mandatory policies impose a compulsory requirement on regulated parties (e.g., regulation). Abatement support policies incentivize voluntary adoption or development of lower emissions processes or products, (e.g., consumer subsidies). Indirect policies do not require or directly incentivize abatement but nevertheless contribute to emissions abatement (e.g., enabling legislation and information). Mandatory policies are generally considered to be more effective in reducing emissions than opt-in abatement support or indirect policies. Notably, Yukon has no implemented mandatory policies, though one is in development.

**Figure 1: Policy Instruments Employed by the Government of Yukon by Sector**




Source: Canadian Climate Policy Inventory, Version 3

## KEY FACTS

- In the Yukon, carrots (abatement support) dominate instrument type when compared with sticks (mandatory action). Carrots: e.g., Good Energy rebate program. Sticks: e.g., Renewable Fuel Regulations, currently being developed.
- There are 10 implemented policies, with none proposed and one announced.
- Most policies reduce emissions through improving energy efficiency and end-use fuel switching.
- The building, electricity and transportation sectors have sector-specific policies applied, while two policies target multiple sectors simultaneously.
- Narrowly scoped policies that are technology or project specific (e.g., Renewable Heating adoption) are more common in Yukon, while broadly applied policies are limited (e.g., Yukon Green Infrastructure Program).

Figure 2 displays the number of policies by the policy instrument applied to mitigate emissions.

**Figure 2: Number of Policies by Instrument**



Source: Canadian Climate Policy Inventory, Version 3

Note: Abatement support policies can be implemented using a combination of instruments, thus the total number of instruments differs from the total number of Yukon policies. There is one announced policy where the instrument is to be determined and it is not included in the figure.

## ABOUT C2P2

The Canadian Climate Policy Partnership (C2P2), led by [Dr. Jennifer Winter](#) provides publicly accessible information on Canadian climate policies, supporting effective strategies to adapt and mitigate climate change, improving resilience, and helping Canada meet its net-zero emissions target.

Partners and funders include the Canadian Climate Institute, CIRANO (Centre Interuniversitaire de Recherche en Analyse des Organisations), the Government of British Columbia, the Government of Canada's Environmental Damages Fund, Mitacs, Quebec Net Positif, Royal Roads University, the Smart Prosperity Institute, the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council, the Office of the Vice-President (Research) at the University of Calgary, and the School of Public Policy at the University of Calgary.